

Declaration of Interest

ELECTRONIC DETERMINATION	Papers circulated electronically on 14 September 2022.
Panel reference	PPSSSH-101 – Canterbury Bankstown – DA-1012/2021 – 17 Phillips Avenue, Canterbury
Acting Chair	Stuart McDonald

In relation to this matter, I declare that I have:

no known conflict of interest ☒ OR

an actual¹ ☐, potential² ☐ or reasonably perceived³ ☐ conflict of interest, as detailed below:



Gail Connolly

21 September 2022

.....

.....

.....

Signature

Name

Date

Should a conflict be declared the panel chair is to ensure appropriate management measures are in place, as determined by the chair, and countersign this form, noting any additional measures.

.....

.....

.....

Chair Signature

Name

Date

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au

¹ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.

Declaration of Interest

ELECTRONIC DETERMINATION	Papers circulated electronically on 14 September 2022.
Panel reference	PPSSH-101 – Canterbury Bankstown – DA-1012/2021 – 17 Phillips Avenue, Canterbury
Acting Chair	Stuart McDonald

In relation to this matter, I declare that I have:

no known conflict of interest ☒ OR

an actual¹ ☐, potential² ☐ or reasonably perceived³ ☐ conflict of interest, as detailed below:



Heather Warton

21 September 2022

Signature

Name

Date

Should a conflict be declared the panel chair is to ensure appropriate management measures are in place, as determined by the chair, and countersign this form, noting any additional measures.

Chair Signature

Name

Date

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au

¹ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.

Declaration of Interest

ELECTRONIC DETERMINATION	Papers circulated electronically on 14 September 2022.
Panel reference	PPSSSH-101 – Canterbury Bankstown – DA-1012/2021 – 17 Phillips Avenue, Canterbury
Acting Chair	Stuart McDonald

In relation to this matter, I declare that I have:

no known conflict of interest ☒ OR

an actual¹ ☐, potential² ☐ or reasonably perceived³ ☐ conflict of interest, as detailed below:



Stuart McDonald

21 September 2022

.....

.....

.....

Signature

Name

Date

Should a conflict be declared the panel chair is to ensure appropriate management measures are in place, as determined by the chair, and countersign this form, noting any additional measures.

.....

.....

.....

Chair Signature

Name

Date

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au

¹ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.